

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,801	Applicant(s) CUELLAR ET AL.	
	Examiner Tae W. Kim	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

 (1) Tae W. Kim.

 (2) Gerald P. Parsons (24486).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Gerald P. Parsons authorized an examiners's amendment to correct the improper dependencies of claims 18, 22, & 24. These claims depend on claim 17, which was canceled. Examiners's amendment will make the claims 18, 22, & 24 to depend on claim 16.